

REMARKS

The office action and the references cited therein have been carefully considered together with the present application and all withdrawn and rejected claims have been deleted, without prejudice to the filing of a continuation application for these claims.


When the June 5, 2007 office action was received, the undersigned called Examiner Self questioning the continued objection of claims 34-37 and 40-43 in light of applicant's prior amendment which amended claims 34 and 40 to place them in independent form as suggested. The examiner indicated I note the conversation in this response.

Also, it is noted that the cover page of the office action indicates that the specification is objected to. However, there is no indication of the reason for this objection. It is also noted that no prior office action had objected to the specification. It is believed to be done in error. If there is some issue with the specification, it is requested that the examiner call the undersigned to discuss it.

It is now believed that all pending claims in the application are or should be considered to be allowed and it is requested that the application be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

By 
Roger D. Greer
Registration No. 26,174

August 2, 2007

300 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2500
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 360-0080
Customer No. 24978